# Steroidal Sapogenins and Glycosides from the Rhizomes of Dioscorea bulbifera

Hai Liu,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Gui-Xin Chou,<sup>†,‡</sup> Tao Wu,<sup>†,‡</sup> Yin-Long Guo,<sup>§</sup> Shun-Chun Wang,<sup>†,‡</sup> Chang-Hong Wang,<sup>†,‡</sup> and Zheng-Tao Wang<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

The MOE Key Laboratory for Standardization of Chinese Medicines, Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1200 Cailun Road, Shanghai 201210, People's Republic of China, Shanghai R&D Centre for Standardization of Chinese Medicines, 1200 Cailun Road, Shanghai 201210, People's Republic of China, and Shanghai Mass Spectrometry Center, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 20032, People's Republic of China

## Received April 26, 2009

Four new steroidal sapogenins (1-4), named diosbulbisins A–D, two new spirostane glycosides, diosbulbisides A (5) and B (6), one new cholestane glycoside, diosbulbiside C (7), and the known compounds 8–10 were isolated from rhizomes of *Dioscorea bulbifera*. Their structures were elucidated by 1D and 2D NMR techniques, HRFTMS, and chemical methods. The unusual furospirostanol sapogenin skeletons, as found in compounds 3 and 4, are reported in the family Dioscoreaceae for the first time. Cytotoxicity of compounds 1–10 was evaluated using two human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (Bel-7402 and SMMC7721).

Diosgenin and related steroidal saponins commonly found in *Dioscorea* plants have shown antitumor, antifungal, and antiinflammatory activities.<sup>1–3</sup> The rhizome of *Dioscorea bulbifera* L. (Dioscoreaceae), known as "Huang Yao Zi" in traditional Chinese medicine, has been used to cure thyroid diseases and cancer.<sup>4–6</sup> In the northern districts of Bangladesh, tribal people use rhizomes of this herb for treatment of leprosy and tumors.<sup>7</sup> Earlier chemical investigations of *D. bulbifera* yielded flavonoids,<sup>5,8–10</sup> clerodane diterpenoids,<sup>7,11–14</sup> and a few steroidal saponins.<sup>15,16</sup> Although clerodane diterpenoids were usually described as the primary and characteristic constituents of this herb, they showed no remarkable antitumor activities based on in vitro assays.<sup>13</sup>

In a search for compounds with potential antitumor and antithyroid effects, the present study describes the isolation, structure elucidation, and biological activity screening of compounds from *D. bulbifera*. Four new steroidal sapogenins (1-4), three new steroidal glycosides (5-7), and three known compounds (8-10)were obtained. Cytotoxic activity of these compounds was assayed against Bel-7402 and SMMC7721 cancer cell lines.



\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 86-21-51322507. Fax: 86-21-51322519. E-mail: wangzht@hotmail.com.

<sup>†</sup> Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Shanghai R&D Centre for Standardization of Chinese Medicines.

§ Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Dried and pulverized rhizomes of D. bulbifera were extracted with 95% EtOH. The extract suspended in water was successively extracted with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate. The residual watersoluble portion was subjected to D101 macroporous resin column chromatography (CC) to afford a saponin-rich fraction. This fraction and the EtOAc-soluble portion were further fractionated by silica gel CC and Sephadex LH-20 followed by ODS to give seven new compounds (1-7) and three known ones (8-10). Their structures were elucidated by analysis of their molecular mass, sugar components, and 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. The sugar residues of compounds 5-7 were determined to be D-glucose and Lrhamnose by GC analyses of chiral derivatives. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constants ( ${}^{3}J_{1,2} > 7$  Hz) were consistent with  $\beta$ -D-glucose, and  $\alpha$ -L-rhamnose was deduced by comparing the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data for C-3 and C-5 of rhamnose with those of the literature reports.<sup>17</sup> Compounds 8-10 were identified by comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data with published data as pennogenin (8), <sup>18,19</sup> pennogenin-3- $\hat{O}$ - $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)- $[\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (9),<sup>15</sup> and pennogenin-3-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-[ $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (10).<sup>19,20</sup>

Compound 1 had the molecular formula  $C_{27}H_{40}O_4$  as determined by positive-ion HRFTMS (m/z 429.3014 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 429.3004) and the NMR (Tables 1 and 2) data. Two methyl singlet signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.07 and 1.12 and two methyl doublet signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.78 (J = 5.3 Hz) and 1.31 (J = 7.2 Hz) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum and a quaternary carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  109.9 in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum indicated a characteristic spirostanol skeleton. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1 with those of pennogenin (9) revealed that the C-F rings of 1 were identical to that of pennogenin,<sup>19</sup> but that there was a difference in the A and B rings. Compound 1 had an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated keto group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.91 (s)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  198.4, 170.6, 124.1], which was assigned at C-3, -4, and -5 of ring A, as confirmed by HMBC correlations of H-2 signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.49 and 2.37 with the carbonyl carbon signal at  $\delta_{C}$  198.4, the H-19 signal at  $\delta_{H}$  1.12 with the olefinic quaternary carbon at  $\delta_{C}$  170.6, and the olefinic proton signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.91 with the carbon signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  34.4 (C-2), 32.9 (C-6), and 38.8 (C-10). Further analysis of the HMBC spectrum of 1 assigned the oxy-quaternary carbon at C-17 due to the longrange correlations of its signal ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  89.9) with the proton signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.51 (H-16), 1.07 (H-18), and 1.31 (H-21). The key HMBC correlations of 1 are shown in Figure 1. The methyl group at C-25 was assigned as  $\alpha$ -oriented using the chemical shifts of C-23, -24, -25, and -27 by referring to reported data for pennogenin<sup>19</sup> and by

n CCC: \$40.75 © 2009 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 10/20/2009

**Table 1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) Data of Compounds 1-7 in Pyridine- $d_5$  ( $\delta$  values; J values in parentheses)

position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19	1.90 m	1 80 m	1 88 m	1.83 m	1 78 m	1.81 m	1 70 m
1a 1b	1.90 m 1.74 m	1.09 III 1.74 m	1.00 III 1.68 m	1.65 m	1.70 m	1.01 m	1.79 m
20	1.74  m	1.74 III 2.45 m	2.46 m	1.50 m	1.01 m	2.17 m	2.18 m
2a 2b	2.49 III 2.37 m	2.45 m	2.40 m	2.02 III 2.48 m	2.12 III 1.75 m	2.17 m	2.10 III 1.06 m
20	2.37 111	2.43 111	2.40 III	2.40 III	1.7.5 III 2.02 m	1.95 III 2.07 m	2.08 m
3	5.01 a	5.02 a	5.00 a	5.02 a	5.95 III	5.97 III	5.96 III
4	5.91 8	5.92.8	5.90 8	5.958	2 70 m	2 70 m	2 82 m
4a 4b					2.70 m	2.79 m	2.02 III 2.82 m
40					2.70 m	2.79 111	2.62 111
5	2.20 m	2 20 m	2.28 m				
0a 6h	2.29 III 2.12 m	2.30 III 2.14 m	2.20 III 2.10 m				
600	2.15 III	2.14 III	2.10 III	6154(07)	5 27 4 (5 1)	$5.20 \pm (4.0)$	$5.29 \pm (4.2)$
0	1.72 m	1.77	1.60 m	0.13 d (9.7)	3.37 d (3.1)	3.39 d (4.9)	3.38 U (4.2)
7a 7h	0.06 m	1.// III 0.06 m	1.09 III 0.00 m		2.02 III 1.66 m	2.04 m	1.00 III
70	0.90 III	0.90 III	0.90 III	(00.1(0.7))	1.00 III	1./4 III	1.38 III
/	1 50	1.54	1 47	0.09 d (9.7)	1 (2	1 (5	1.50
8	1.58 m	1.54 m	1.4/m	2.39 m	1.03 m	1.05 m	1.58 m
9	0.93 m	0.90 m	0.80 m	1.18 m	1.05 m	1.05 m	1.00 m
10	1.(2	1.50	1.50	1.55	1.64	1.((	1.50
11a	1.63 m	1.58 m	1.59 m	1.55 m	1.64 m	1.66 m	1.59 m
110	1.48 m	1.46 m	1.48 m	1.46 m	1.61 m	1.61 m	1.59 m
12a	2.29 m	2.20 m	2.20 m	2.21 m	2.33 m	2.35 m	2.23 m
126	1.6/m	1.60 m	1.59 m	1.61 m	1.62 m	1.64 m	1.58 m
13	0.11	2.10	2.05	2.21	2.17	2.10	1.46
14	2.11 m	2.18 m	2.05 m	2.21 m	2.17 m	2.18 m	1.46 m
15a	2.21 m	2.22 m	2.13 m	2.31 m	2.24 m	2.28 m	2.26 m
156	1.59 m	1.60 m	1.50 m	1.59 m	1.65 m	1.66 m	2.08 m
16	4.51 t (6.9)	4.58 t (6.9)	4.60 dd (7.5, 6.1)	4.62 m	4.59 m	4.61 m	
17	1.07		1.07	1.00	1.07	1.07	1.00
18	1.07 s	1.10 s	1.06 s	1.08 s	1.06 s	1.06 s	1.03 s
19	1.12 s	1.13 s	1.10 s	1.09 s	0.99 s	1.16 s	1.13 s
20	2.34 m	2.45 m	2.61 dd (14.3, 7.1)	2.63 m	2.43 m	2.43 m	
21	1.31 d (7.2)	1.37 d (7.3)	1.28 d (7.2)	1.29 d (7.1)	1.36 d (7.1)	1.36 d (7.2)	2.06 s
22							
23a	1.81 m	2.60 m	2.26 m	2.23 m	2.58 m	2.58 m	2.94 m
23b	1.71 m	1.75 m	2.26 m	2.23 m	1.74 m	1.73 m	2.94 m
24a	1.68 m	2.22 m	2.45 m	2.43 m	2.19 m	2.21 m	2.37 m
24b	1.68 m	1.98 m	2.25 m	2.22 m	1.96 m	1.97 m	2.01 m
25	1.67 m						2.04 m
26a	3.60 m	4.03 d (11.3)	3.89 m	3.90 m	4.02 m	4.03 d (11.3)	3.84 m
266	3.60 m	3.73 dd (11.3, 2.4)	3.89 m	3.90 m	3.70 m	3.70 m	3.80 m
27	0.78 d (5.3)	1.32 s	1.41 s	1.42 s	1.30 s	1.31 s	1.18 d (6.4)
3-Glc					<b>F</b> 04		
ľ					5.01 m	4.97 d (7.7)	4.99 d (7.6)
2					4.10 m	4.15 m	4.15 m
3'					4.50 m	4.25 m	4.25 m
4'					4.32 m	4.14 m	4.14 m
5'					3.96 m	3.87 m	3.98 m
6'a					4.54 m	4.52 m	4.54 m
6'b					4.49 m	4.39 m	4.43 m
2'-Rha							
1"						5.94 s	5.95 s
2"						4.84 m	4.83 m
3″						4.58 m	4.61 m
4'						4.38 m	4.41 m
5″						4.94 m	4.93 m
6″						1.83 d (6.2)	1.84 d (6.1)
3'-Rha							
1‴					6.40 s	5.83 s	5.83 s
2‴					4.88 m	4.56 m	4.54 m
3‴					4.67 m	4.95 m	4.91 m
4‴					4.43 m	4.37 m	4.41 m
5‴					5.10 m	4.86 m	4.86 m
6‴					1.80 d (6.2)	1.73 d (6.3)	1.73 d (6.2)

the coupling constants of H-26 signals [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.60 (2H, m)]. Thus, **1** was elucidated to be (25*R*)-17 $\alpha$ -hydroxyspirost-4-en-3-one, and it was named diosbulbisin A.

Compound **2** was a white powder with a molecular formula of  $C_{27}H_{40}O_5$  on the basis of positive-ion HRFTMS (*m*/z 445.2944 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 445.2954) data. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of **2** were very similar to those of **1**, with the exception of an OH group substituted on ring F. The OH group was placed at C-25 from evidence that the H-27 methyl signal became a singlet

and shifted downfield from  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.78 (d, J = 5.3 Hz) in **1** to 1.32 (s) in **2**. The C-25 signal shifted downfield from  $\delta_{\rm C}$  30.5 (t) in **1** to  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.0 (q) in **2**, and further confirmation was evident by the HMBC correlations of the proton signals at  $\delta$  1.32 (H-27), 1.98 (H-24b), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.3, 2.4 Hz) (H-26b), and 4.03 (d, J = 11.3 Hz) (H-26a) with the C-25 signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  66.0. The configuration of the OH group at C-25 was deduced from the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. The large (-6.7 ppm)  $\gamma_{\rm g}$ -effect displayed at C-23 was more consistent with an axial OH group at C-25 than with an axial methyl group.

**Table 2.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz) Data ( $\delta$ ) of Compounds 1–7 in Pyridine- $d_5$ 

position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	36.0	36.0	35.9	34.4	37.6	37.6	37.2
2	34.4	34.5	34.4	34.2	30.1	30.2	30.1
3	198.4	198.5	198.3	198.4	78.3	77.9	77.8
4	124.1	123.8	123.8	124.0	39.3	38.8	38.7
5	170.6	170.8	170.6	163.4	140.9	141.0	141.0
6	32.9	32.9	32.8	127.9	121.9	122.0	121.5
7	32.5	32.5	32.5	141.1	32.5	32.6	31.8
8	35.9	36.0	35.9	38.0	32.4	32.5	30.9
9	53.8	53.8	53.8	50.8	50.3	50.3	50.0
10	38.8	38.9	38.8	36.3	37.1	37.2	37.2
11	21.0	21.0	20.9	20.5	21.1	21.0	21.0
12	32.1	32.1	32.1	32.2	31.9	31.9	36.2
13	45.3	45.4	45.4	46.4	45.3	45.3	43.5
14	52.3	52.3	52.1	49.7	53.2	53.2	50.6
15	31.6	31.8	31.5	31.0	32.2	32.2	38.1
16	89.9	90.2	89.9	89.7	90.3	90.4	205.7
17	89.9	90.0	89.5	89.4	90.2	90.3	142.7
18	17.3	17.3	17.2	16.3	17.3	17.2	16.8
19	17.4	17.4	17.3	16.9	19.5	19.5	19.4
20	44.9	45.0	41.6	41.6	44.9	44.9	145.7
21	9.8	9.9	10.1	10.1	9.9	9.9	15.9
22	109.9	110.3	120.6	120.6	110.3	110.3	210.7
23	32.1	28.2	34.4	34.4	28.2	28.2	39.2
24	28.9	33.5	32.4	32.6	33.5	33.5	28.0
25	30.5	66.0	86.2	86.3	65.9	65.9	36.2
26	66.8	69.8	70.1	70.1	69.8	69.8	67.6
27	17.3	27.1	24.1	24.1	27.1	27.0	17.3
3-Glc							
1'					102.4	100.0	100.1
2'					75.8	78.4	78.4
3'					83.7	87.6	87.5
4'					69.8	70.1	70.1
5'					78.4	78.1	78.2
6'					61.6	62.4	62.4
2'-Rha							
1‴						102.7	102.7
2"						72.5	72.6
3‴						72.9	72.9
4'						73.9	73.9
5″						69.9	70.0
6″						18.7	18.8
3'-Rha							
1‴					103.0	104.0	104.0
2′′′					72.7	72.6	72.6
3‴					72.8	72.7	72.7
4‴					74.3	73.7	73.7
5‴					70.3	70.7	70.7
6‴					18.8	18.5	18.5

The latter showed a smaller  $\gamma_g$ -effect, e.g., -1.5 ppm, as the case in (25*R*)-isonuatigenin.<sup>21,22</sup> Comparison of the chemical shift of C-25 ( $\delta_C$  66.0) of **2** with the corresponding values reported for (25*R*)-isonuatigenin and (25*S*)-isonuatigenin ( $\delta_C$  81.6 and 65.1, respectively) also indicated an axial OH group, i.e., the *S*configuration at C-25.<sup>19,21</sup> Thus, **2** was characterized as (25*S*)-17 $\alpha$ ,25-dihydroxyspirost-4-en-3-one, and it was named diosbulbisin B.

Compound **3** was obtained as a white powder. Its molecular formula was deduced to be  $C_{27}H_{40}O_5$  from the HRFTMS data (*m/z* 445.2941 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 445.2954). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3** (Table 1) exhibited three methyl singlets ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.41, 1.10 and 1.06) and one methyl doublet signal [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.28 (*J* = 7.2 Hz)]. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **3** (Table 2) showed a quaternary carbon signal at  $\delta$  120.6 typical of 22,25-epoxyfurostanols (furospirostanols). The spectroscopic data of **3** were closely related to those of **2**, except for the ring F portion. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of the ring F of **3** were similar to those of (22*S*,25*S*)-22,25-epoxyfurost-5-ene-3 $\beta$ ,26-diol (nuatigenin).<sup>19,23</sup> Accordingly, **3** was elucidated as (22*S*,25*S*)-17 $\alpha$ ,26-dihydroxy-22,25-epoxyfurost-4-en-3-one, and it was given the trivial name diosbulbisin C.



Figure 1. Key HMBC correlations (arrows) of compounds 1 and 7.

Compound **4** had the molecular formula  $C_{27}H_{38}O_5$  as deduced from the HRFTMS data (*m*/*z* 465.2623 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 465.2616). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **4** were similar to those of **3**, except that **4** had an additional olefinic group [ $\delta_H$  6.09 (d, J = 9.7), 6.15 (d, J = 9.7)/ $\delta_C$  141.1, 127.9], which was assigned at C-6 and C-7 on the basis of the upfield shift of C-5 (-7.2 ppm) due to the formation of the conjugated double bond and the HMBC correlations of H-4 [ $\delta_H$  5.93 (s)] with the olefinic carbon [ $\delta_C$  127.9 (C-6)], the olefinic proton signal (H-6) at  $\delta_H$  6.15 with the carbon signals at  $\delta_C$  38.0 (C-8) and 36.3 (C-10), and the olefinic proton signal (H-7) at  $\delta_H$  6.09 with the carbon signals at  $\delta_C$  163.4 (C-5), 50.8 (C-9), and 49.7 (C-14) respectively. Thus, **4** was shown to be (22*S*,25*S*)-17 $\alpha$ ,26-dihydroxy-22,25-epoxyfurost-4,6-dien-3-one, and it was given the trivial name diosbulbisin D.

Compound 5 had the molecular formula C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O<sub>14</sub> [HRFTMS  $(m/z 777.4044 [M + Na]^+$ , calcd 777.4037)]. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of **5** showed three methyl singlets ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.30, 1.06, and 0.99), one methyl doublet [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.36 (d, J = 7.1 Hz)], an olefinic group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.37 (d, J = 5.1)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  140.9, 121.9], and a quaternary carbon signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  110.3, which suggested that 5 was a steroidal glycoside that possessed a  $\Delta$ 5,6-spirotanol skeleton.<sup>19</sup> Three additional oxygen substituents at C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  78.3), C-17 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 90.2), and C-25 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  65.9) and two sugar residues indicated by two aromeric proton signals [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.01 (m) and 6.40 (s)] and two aromeric carbon signals ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  103.0 and 102.4) were observed in the NMR spectra of 5. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the E and F ring portions of 5 were similar to those of 2. In the HMBC spectrum, the anomeric proton signals of rhamnose and of glucose showed long-range correlations with C-3' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  83.7) of the glucose and C-3  $(\delta_{\rm C} 78.3)$  of the aglycone, respectively. The configuration of **5** was determined by a NOESY experiment. NOESY correlation of H-3  $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.93)$  with H-9  $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.03)$  suggested that H-3 was  $\alpha$ -oriented. Therefore, compound 5 was determined to be  $(25S)-17\alpha, 25$ dihydroxyspirost-5-en-3 $\beta$ -yl-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside, and it was given the trivial name diosbulbiside Α.

Compound **6** was obtained as a white, amorphous solid with molecular formula  $C_{45}H_{72}O_{18}$  on the basis of the positive-ion HRFTMS (*m*/*z* 923.4649 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 923.4616). Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **6** with those of **5** revealed that they were similar except for the presence of an additional rhamnosyl group in **6**. The HMBC spectrum showed correlations of the anomeric proton signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.94 (H-1") of rhamnose with C-2'

 $(\delta_C 78.4)$  of glucose and the anomeric proton signal at  $\delta_H 5.83$  (H-1<sup>'''</sup>) of rhamnose with C-3' ( $\delta_C 87.6$ ) of glucose as well as the anomeric proton at  $\delta_H 4.97$  (H-1') of glucose with C-3 ( $\delta_C 77.9$ ) of the aglycone. Thus, compound **6** was determined to be (25*S*)-17 $\alpha$ ,25-dihydroxyspirost-5-en-3 $\beta$ -yl-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-[ $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside and was given the trivial name diosbulbiside B.

Compound 7 had the molecular formula  $C_{45}H_{70}O_{17}$  (HRFTMS). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) suggested a  $C_{27}$ steroidal saponin having a cholestane skeleton<sup>24</sup> with two carbonyl groups, an oxymethylene, a methyl group on a double bond, a tetrasubstituted olefinic group, two singlet methyl groups, three doublet methyl groups, a trisubstituted olefinic group, and three anomeric protons. Signals for three sugar groups were also observed. In the HMBC spectrum, correlations from the methylene proton signals [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.26 and 2.08 (H-15)] to the carbonyl carbon signal  $[\delta_{\rm C} 205.7 \text{ (C-16)}]$  and from the methyl proton signals  $[\delta_{\rm H} 2.06 \text{ (Me-}$ 21)] and two methylenes [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.37 and 2.01 (H<sub>2</sub>-24) and 2.94 (2H, H<sub>2</sub>-23)] to the carbonyl carbon signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  210.7 (C-22) gave ample evidence for carbonyl groups at C-16 and C-22, respectively. Further HMBC correlations from Me-18 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.03) to  $\delta_{\rm C}$  142.7 and from Me-21 to  $\delta_{\rm C}$  142.7 and 145.7 were indicative of a double bond between C-17 and C-20. The primary OH was at C-26 as deduced from the HMBC correlations of proton signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.84 (H-26a) and 3.80 (H-26b) with the  $^{13}$ C signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  28.0 (C-24), 36.2 (C-25), and 17.3 (C-27). HMBC correlations of C-2' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  78.4)/H-1" ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.95), C-3' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  87.5)/H-1''' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.83), and C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.8)/H-1'  $(\delta_{\rm H} 4.99)$  were also observed. The key HMBC correlations of 7 are shown in Figure 1. The 25R-configuration of 7 was deduced from the geminal proton resonances of H-26a and H-26b, which showed a  $\Delta_{a b}$  ( $\delta_{Ha} - \delta_{Hb}$ ) of 0.04, which was less than 0.48.<sup>25</sup> The above data led to the structure of 7 as (25R)-26-hydroxycholesta-5,17-diene-16,22-dione- $3\beta$ -yl-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)- $[\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, and it was named diosbulbiside C.

Compounds **1–10** were tested against proliferation of Bel-7402 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M following a standard MTT assay.<sup>1,26</sup> Only **9** and **10** showed significant cytotoxic activity with 99.1% and 92.6% inhibition, respectively. The compounds were then tested for cell growth inhibition activity toward SMMC7721 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells, and they exhibited IC<sub>50</sub> values of 4.54  $\mu$ M (**9**) and 4.85  $\mu$ M (**10**), respectively.

## **Experimental Section**

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were taken in MeOH on a KRUSS P8000-T polarimeter (A. KRUSS Optronic GmbH, Germany). Melting points were determined on a Buchi melting point B-540 (uncorrected). IR spectra were measured as films on KBr pellets using a Thermo Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA). The 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AV500 spectrometer. The HRFTMS were obtained on an Ionspec 4.7 T HisRes MALDI-FTMS (Ionspec, Irvine, CA). GC analysis was performed on a Thermo Finnigan Trace DSQ gas chromatograph equipped with a mass spectrometry detector. Open column chromatography (CC) was carried out using D101 macroporous resin (Tianjin Pesticide Co., China), silica gel (200-300 and 300-400 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., China), ODS-A (50 µm, YMC, Kyoto, Japan), RP-C<sub>18</sub> (4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, Sepax Technologies Inc., Newark, DE), and Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare, Sweden) as stationary phases. TLC was performed on HSGF<sub>254</sub> (0.2 mm, Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., China) or RP-18 F<sub>254</sub> (0.25 mm, Merck) plates.

**Plant Material.** Dried rhizomes of *D. bulbifera* were collected from Qingyang, Anhui Province, China, in September 2006, and were identified by Professor Shou-Jin Liu (Anhui College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Anhui Province, China). A voucher specimen (LH0609-1) was deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried rhizomes of D. bulbifera (30 kg) were pulverized and extracted three times (each time for 4 h) with 80% ethanol. The filtrate obtained was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a dark residue, which was suspended in water and extracted with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate successively. After evaporation of solvents, petroleum ether- (160 g) and ethyl acetate (600 g)-soluble portions were obtained. The residual water-soluble portion was applied to a D101 macroporous resin column (40-60 mesh, 50 kg) and eluted with 95% EtOH-H2O mixtures to afford a saponinrich fraction (400 g). The EtOAc-soluble portion was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with a gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (99:1, 20:1, 9:1, 6:1, 8:2, 6:4, 1:1, 0:1); TLC analysis was carried out (visualization with 10% sulfuric acid-ethanol solution and heating at 150 °C), which indicated 28 distinct fractions. Fraction 4 (1.3 g) was separated by silica gel CC with petroleum ether-ethyl acetate (8:2) and further fractionated on Sephadex LH-20 [CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (1:1)] to give 1 (29 mg) and 8 (36 mg). Fraction 7 (0.9 g) was purified using Sephadex LH-20 and RP-HPLC (C<sub>18</sub> column, 4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm, flow rate 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) using ACN-H<sub>2</sub>O (55:45, v/v) as eluants, to afford 2 (23 mg), 3 (19 mg), and 4 (3 mg). The saponin-rich fraction was subjected to silica gel CC eluting with a gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (99:1, 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 1:1, 0:1) to afford fractions 1-26. Fractions 20 and 21 (3.2 g) were separated by silica gel CC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (8:1), followed by chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) and ODS (YMC, ODS-A, RP-18, 400 mm  $\times$  30 mm) and elution with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (60:40, v/v) to afford **5** (6 mg), **6** (5 mg), **9** (35 mg), and **10** (156 mg). Fraction 24 (3.2 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) and further purified on ODS using MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (55:45, v/v) as eluants, followed by silica gel CC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (7:3) to afford 7 (32 mg)

**Diosbulbisin A (1):** white powder; mp 185–186 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$  –11.9 (*c* 0.012, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3526.9, 2951.0, 2930.9, 2860.0, 1677.6, 1615.9, 1455.6, 1378.2, 1340.2, 1270.4, 1176.3, 1159.0, 1126.8, 1051.0, 979.6, 897.8, 864.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m*/*z* 429.3014 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 429.3004).

**Diosbulbisin B (2):** white powder; mp 232–233 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ –12.1 (*c* 0.016, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3530.5, 2962.3, 2950.3, 2931.7, 2875.9, 2862.2, 1674.0, 1610.2, 1456.5, 1375.6, 1242.5, 1176.1, 1128.6, 1064.1, 1036.3, 984.1, 934.6, 889.5, 847.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m/z* 445.2944 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 445.2954).

**Diosbulbisin** C (3): white powder; mp 244–245 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ –39.7 (*c* 0.015, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3414.3 (br), 2949.4, 2908.2, 2852.1, 1675.5, 1610.8, 1458.0, 1383.5, 1326.0, 1269.9, 1228.9, 1184.2, 1124.9, 1060.5, 994.6, 871.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m/z* 445.2941 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 445.2954).

**Diosbulbisin D (4):** white powder; mp 211–212 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ –20.8 (*c* 0.014, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3442.1 (br), 2947.8, 2918.8, 2850.4, 1665.7, 1618.2, 1458.6, 1384.1, 1323.6, 1264.7, 1225.0, 1123.5, 1051.6, 1000.6, 876.9 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m*/*z* 465.2623 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na, 465.2616).

**Diosbulbiside A (5):** white, amorphous solid; mp 237–238 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  =20.2 (*c* 0.021, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3423.4 (br), 2961.4, 2929.4, 1637.5, 1457.9, 1379.2, 1261.3, 1060.9, 1038.4, 934.8, 887.6, 803.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m/z* 777.4044 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Na, 777.4037).

**Diosbulbiside B (6):** white, amorphous solid; mp 278–279 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  –33.2 (*c* 0.028, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3423.3 (br), 2960.9, 2930.0, 1637.6, 1457.7, 1382.1, 1261.1, 1229.3, 1042.3, 986.8, 934.7, 887.4, 808.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m/z* 923.4649 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>72</sub>O<sub>18</sub>Na, 923.4616).

**Diosbulbiside C (7):** white, amorphous solid; mp 230–231 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  –48.0 (*c* 0.016, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3423.6 (br), 2931.7, 1716.8, 1701.2, 1630.1, 1383.1, 1064.1, 1042.6; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments, see Tables 1 and 2; HRFTMS *m/z* 905.4516 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>70</sub>O<sub>17</sub>Na, 905.4510).

Acid Hydrolysis and Sugar Analysis. Compounds 5–7 (each 1.5 mg) were hydrolyzed with 2 M aqueous CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (1 mL) at 120 °C for 2.5 h, after which the solvent was evaporated with a stream of N<sub>2</sub>. The following solutions were added: (a) 1:8 (*S*)-1-amino-2-propanol–MeOH (20  $\mu$ L); (b) 1:4 glacial acetic acid–MeOH (17  $\mu$ L); and (c) 3% NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in MeOH (13  $\mu$ L). The vial was capped, and

the mixture was allowed to react for 2 h at 65 °C. After cooling, 2 M aqueous CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H was added dropwise to pH 1–2. The mixture was evaporated and co-evaporated with MeOH (5 × 0.5 mL). The residue was dried overnight in a desiccator and treated with 1:1 pyridine–acetic anhydride for 1 h at 100 °C. After cooling the derivatives were extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed with water (3 × 1 mL) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 × 1 mL). The organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and subjected to GC-MS using a Thermo TR-5MS column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 2.5 µm) with helium as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min to identify the monosaccharides. The oven temperature started at 180 °C and was increased to 220 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min, keeping it at 270 °C for 1 min. Derivatives of D-glucose and L-glucose eluted at 49.50 and 49.61 min,<sup>27</sup> and the derivative of L-rhamnose eluted at 35.94 min.

MTT Colorimetric Assay. Inhibition of cell-growth activity was determined by a MTT assay as previously described.<sup>1,26</sup> Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Bel-7402 and SMMC7721) were routinely cultivated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and subcultured twice weekly to maintain continuous logarithmic growth. Tumor cell cultures were diluted with fresh medium to 7500 cells per well and plated into 96-well microtiter plates at 100 µL per well. After 24 h incubation, the test compounds at various concentrations were added to the microtiter plates. Experiments were conducted in triplicate, and following 48 h of exposure, MTT was added to each well and was reduced by viable cells to an insoluble formazan product. Well contents were aspirated and formazan was solubilized by addition of DMSO. Absorbance was read on a Bio-Rad model 550 systems plate reader at 570 nm as a measure of cell viability. 10-Hydroxycamptothecin (HCP) was used as a positive control and showed an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.14  $\mu$ M (SMMC7721).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) to H. Liu (No. 30701082) and National Basic Research Program of China (2006CB-504704), and by the Open Project Program Foundation of Key Laboratory of Standardization of Chinese Medicines (Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine). Thanks are also due to Prof. S.-J. Liu for collecting material and Dr. X.-T. Liu for help with preparation of the manuscript.

Supporting Information Available: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 2D NMR, and HRFTMS spectra of compounds 1-7. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### **References and Notes**

 Sautour, M.; Mitaine-Offer, A.-C.; Lacaille-Dubois, M.-A. J. Nat. Med. 2007, 61, 91–101.

- (2) Hu, K.; Dong, A. J.; Yao, X. S.; Kobayashi, H.; Iwasaki, S. Planta Med. 1996, 62, 573–575.
- (3) Liu, X. T.; Wang, Z. Z.; Xiao, W.; Zhao, H. W.; Hu, J.; Yu, B. *Phytochemistry* **2008**, *69*, 1411–1418.
- (4) Jiang, S. Dictionary of Chinese Crude Drugs; Shanghai Scientific Technological Publisher: Shanghai, 1978; p 2059.
- (5) Gao, H. Y.; Kuroyanagi, M.; Wu, L.; Kawahara, N.; Yasuno, T.; Nakamura, Y. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2002**, *25*, 1241–1243.
- (6) Lin, H. W.; Zhang, G.; Zhao, H. B.; Zhang, C.; Liu, C. L. Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs 2002, 33, 175–177.
- (7) Murray, R. D. H.; Jorge, Z. D.; Khan, N. H.; Shahjahan, M.; Quaisuddin, M. *Phytochemistry* **1984**, 23, 623–625.
- (8) Gao, H. Y.; Lu, Y.; Wu, L. J.; Gao, D. C. J. Shengyang Pharm. Univ. 2001, 18, 185–188.
- (9) Gao, H. Y.; Wu, L. J.; Yin, K.; Tang, T. H.; Wang, Y. S. J. Shengyang Pharm. Univ. 2001, 18, 414–416.
- (10) Gao, H. Y.; Sui, A. L.; Chen, Y. H.; Zhang, X. Y.; Wu, L. J. C. J. Shengyang Pharm. Univ. 2003, 20, 178–180.
- (11) Teponno, R. B.; Tapondjou, A. L.; Gatsing, D.; Djoukeng, J. D.; Abou-Mansour, E.; Tabacchi, R.; Tane, P.; Stoekli-Evans, H.; Lontsi, D. *Phytochemistry* **2006**, *67*, 1957–1963.
- (12) Teponno, R. B.; Tapondjou, A. L.; Abou-Mansour, E.; Stoeckli-Evans, H.; Tane, P.; Barboni, L. *Phytochemistry* **2008**, *69*, 2374–2379.
- (13) Komori, T. Toxicon 1997, 35, 1531-1535.
- (14) Teponna, R. B.; Tapondjou, A. L.; Ju-Jung, H.; Nam, J. H.; Tane, P.; Park, H. J. Helv. Chim. Acta 2007, 90, 1599–1605.
- (15) Teponno, R. B.; Tapondjou, A. L.; Djoukeng, J. D.; Abou-Mansour, E.; Tabacchi, R.; Tane, P.; Lontsi, D.; Park, H. J. *Nat. Prod. Sci.* 2006, *12*, 62–66.
- (16) Li, S. S.; Deng, J. Z.; Zhao, S. X. J. Plant Resour. Environ. 1999, 8, 61–62.
- (17) Kasai, R.; Okihara, M.; Asakawa, J.; Mizutani, K.; Tanaka, O. *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *35*, 1427–32.
- (18) Li, H. *The Genus Paris (Trilliaceae)*; Science Press: Beijing, 1998; pp 182–185.
- (19) Agrawal, P. K.; Jain, D. C.; Gupta, R. K.; Thakur, R. S. *Phytochemistry* 1985, 24, 2479–2496.
- (20) Nakano, K.; Murakami, K.; Takaishi, Y.; Tomimatsu, T.; Nohara, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 116–118.
- (21) Faini, F.; Torres, R.; Castillo, M. Phytochemistry 1984, 23, 1301– 1303.
- (22) Chakravarty, A. K.; Pakrashi, S. C.; Uzawa, J. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 1328–1330.
- (23) Yang, R. Z.; Cheng, K. C.; Cun, F. L.; Zhu, X. Q. Acta Bot. Yunnanica **1984**, *6*, 229–233.
- (24) Mimaki, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Sakagami, H.; Sashida, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 1863–1868.
- (25) Agrawal, P. K. Steroids 2005, 70, 715-724.
- (26) Carmichael, J.; Degraff, W. G.; Gazdar, A. F.; Minna, J. D.; Mitchell, J. B. *Cancer Res.* **1987**, 47, 936–942.
- (27) Liu, X. T.; Pan, Q.; Shi, Y.; Williams, I. D.; Sung, H. H. Y.; Zhang, Q.; Liang, J. Y.; Ip, N. Y.; Min, Z. D. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 255–260.

NP900255H